IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

SUMMONS FOR JUDGMENT NO.750 OF 2005

IN

SUMMARY SUIT NO.1397 OF 2005

Canara Bank ...Plaintiff

v/s

P. Padmanabhan and anr. ...Defendants

Ms Reena Singh i/b Mr K.R. Lalchandani for Plaintiff.

Mr M.S. Dhamal for Defendant No.1.

Ms Subha Pillai i/b M/s Halai and Co. for Defendant No.2.

CORAM : D.K. DESHMUKH J.

DATE : 7TH JUNE 2006.

P.C. :-

1. This is a bank Suit. The amount has admittedly been advanced by the bank as a loan. The only submission that was made on behalf of the defendants was on the rate of interest, but perusal of the promissory note and the agreement shows that the interest has been charged as per the agreement between the parties. Practically, there is no defence raised by the defendants. Summons for judgment therefore deserves to be granted as the defendants are not entitled for leave to defend. Summons for judgment is accordingly granted. Suit is decreed in terms of prayer clause (a).

Refund of court fee as per rules.

. Parties to act on the copy of this order duly authenticated by the Associate / Private Secretary as true copy.

. Certified copy expedited.